

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/17/2002

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 07/05/2001 09/899,704 Ricky Gene Schnellmann D6305 3503 7590 01/17/2002 Benjamin Aaron Adler **EXAMINER ADLER & ASSOCIATES** COOK, REBECCA 8011 Candle Lane Houston, TX 77071 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1614

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/899,704

Rebecca Cook

Examiner

Applican(s)

Schnellmann et al

Art Unit 1614



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed ration.
Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This act	tion is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)  Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6)  Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
7)  Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 💢 Claims <u>1-17</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.
12) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some* c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority desplication from the International Bure	ve been received. ve been received in Application No ocuments have been received in this National Stage
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	e certified copies not received.
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	phonty under 35 0.3.C. 3 113(e).
Attachment(s)	
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20)  Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/899,704

Art Unit:

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-4, 11-14, drawn to a first method of using ascorbic acid, classified in class 514, subclass 474.
- II. Claims 5-6, drawn to an ophthalmic composition, classified in class 514, subclass474.
- III. Claims 7-10, 17, drawn to a second composition, classified in class 514, subclass 474.
- IV. Claims 15-16, drawn to a second method of treatment, classified in class 514, subclass 474.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions of Groups II and III and I and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the products can be used in more than one method as evidenced by the different claimed methods. Furthermore, ascorbic acid is also used as an anti-oxidant and as a skin bleaching agent.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/899,704

Art Unit:

Furthermore, if applicants elect Group I or Group IV they are required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed cellular function and eye injury, respectively, from those recited in the claims for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/899,704 Page 4

Art Unit:

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

To further prosecution applicants are requested to cancel the non-elected subject matter.

Applicants are requested to submit an IDS..

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Cook whose telephone number is (703) 308-4724. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel, can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

REBECCA COOK RIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200